

Rapid Watershed Assessments – Matrix Data

Rapid watershed assessments provide initial estimates of where conservation investments would best address the concerns of landowners, conservation districts, and other community organizations and stakeholders. These assessments help land-owners and local leaders set priorities and determine the best actions to achieve their goals.

The rapid assessment matrix summarizes, in tabular form, current and future resource conditions and their qualitative effect on primary resource concerns. The matrix also summarizes future resource conditions by cost, including: installation, annual operations, initial and annual management, and technical assistance.

The following matrix model was developed from Oregon NRCS, but has been customized to represent Missouri conditions and related economic figures. Input for the model was solicited from district conservationists from each watershed, who identified the resource concerns and typical conservation practice systems installed. As with any modeling effort, it is necessary to make assumptions and generalizations. However, these reports contain estimates from local and experienced field conservationists.

For the Lower Gasconade River Watershed, the assessment is comprised of four separate land uses – in the following table, the pages in parenthesis show where the respective assessment summary matrices are located.

Land use characteristics used in Assessment Matrix development.

| Land Use | Watershed Total (acres) | Typical Unit Size (acres) | Estimated Participation* (%) |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Cropland (p. 47-48) | 19,393 | 20 | 40 |
| Forestland (p. 49-50) | 410,382 | 80 | 39 |
| Grassland (p. 51-52) | 206,579 | 60 | 34 |
| Urban (p. 53-54) | 3,362 | 5 | 13 |

* Calculated Participation Rate = Future Treated Acres / (Current Base Acres + Current Progressive Acres)

The assessment matrix for each land use identified is presented as two tables.

Assessment Information – summarizes the practices at each treatment level, the quantities of practices for current benchmark conditions and projected future conditions. It also displays the four major resource concerns along with practice effects and adds a “systems rating” indicating the overall effectiveness of the conservation system used at each level.

Rapid Watershed Assessments Matrix – Continued

Conservation Systems are identified by different conservation practices within **Treatment Levels**, as described below.

Baseline System – represents those landowners who typically are not participating in conservation programs.

Progressive System – is a level of conservation adoption that is leading to a full Resource Management System (RMS).

Resource Management System – is a system of conservation practices that address all the SWPA resource concerns typically seen for this land use in the watershed.

Each table includes the four highest priority **Resource Concerns** that typically must be dealt with for that particular land use in the watershed. Other resource concerns might be identified in the profile, but they will not be identified in the matrix. For each resource concern, a numerical **Practice Effect** rating is identified which is the default rating from the statewide Conservation Practice Physical Effects (CPPE) for both the selected resource concerns and conservation practices. The **System Rating** shown for each conservation system indicates the overall effectiveness of the conservation system used at each treatment level.

Current Conditions and Future Conditions, in terms of units of practices within the respective conservation systems, are shown for current benchmark conditions as well as for projected future conditions for each particular conservation practice that is identified within the resource concerns.

Conservation Investment Information – summarizes the installation, management, operation and maintenance costs, by practice and treatment level, for the projected future conditions by federal and private share of the costs. This table also includes the current benchmark and projected future conditions conservation status bars for the Progressive System and the Resource Management System.

USDA Investment costs are shown for each practice included within the different conservation systems.

Installation Costs are shown at a 50% cost-share rate.

Management Costs are shown for a 3-year period, at a 100% rate.

Technical Assistance Costs are shown at a 20% cost-share rate.

Total Present Value of Costs is the summation of all of the preceding costs, by conservation practice.

Private Investment costs are shown for each practice included within the different conservation systems.

Installation Costs are shown at a 50% cost-share rate.

Annual Operation and Management Costs are shown at a 100% rate.

Total Present Value of Costs is the summation of all of the preceding costs, by conservation practice.



Lower Gasconade River - 10290203

8 – Digit Hydrologic Unit Profile and Resource Assessment Matrix



| WATERSHED NAME & CODE | | LOWER GASCONADE - 10290203 | | | | LANDUSE ACRES | | 19,393 | |
|--|--|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| LANDUSE TYPE | | CROPLAND | | | | TYPICAL UNIT SIZE ACRES | | 20 | |
| ASSESSMENT INFORMATION | | | | | | ESTIMATED PARTICIPATION | | 40% | |
| CONSERVATION SYSTEMS BY TREATMENT LEVELS | | CURRENT CONDITIONS | FUTURE CONDITIONS | | | RESOURCE CONCERNS | | | |
| | | Total Units | Existing Unchanged Units | New Treatment Units | Total Units | Soil Erosion – Sheet and Rill | Soil Erosion – Ephemeral Gully | Soil Erosion – Streambank | Soil Condition – Compaction |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline System | | System Rating -> | | | | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Acreage at Baseline Level | | 11,636 | 5,818 | 0 | 5,818 | | | | |
| Conservation Crop Rotation (ac.) 328 | | 11,636 | 5,818 | 0 | 5,818 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Critical Area Planting (ac.) 342 | | 582 | 291 | 0 | 291 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Progressive System | | System Rating -> | | | | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Total Acreage at Progressive Level | | 5,818 | 4,654 | 4,073 | 8,727 | | | | |
| Conservation Cover (ac.) 327 | | 582 | 465 | 407 | 873 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Conservation Crop Rotation (ac.) 328 | | 5,818 | 8,727 | 0 | 8,727 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Resource Management System (RMS) | | System Rating -> | | | | 5 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Total Acreage at RMS Level | | 1,939 | 1,939 | 2,909 | 4,848 | | | | |
| Conservation Cover (ac.) 327 | | 194 | 310 | 175 | 485 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Conservation Crop Rotation (ac.) 328 | | 1,745 | 4,363 | 0 | 4,363 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Nutrient Management (ac.) 590 | | 1,745 | 1,745 | 2,618 | 4,363 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2 |
| Pest Management (ac.) 595 | | 1,939 | 1,939 | 2,909 | 4,848 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Residue and Tillage Management, No-Till/Strip Till/Direct Seed (ac.) 329 | | 1,745 | 1,745 | 2,618 | 4,363 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 2 |
| Riparian Forest Buffer (ac.) 391 | | 194 | 194 | 291 | 485 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| Tree/Shrub Establishment (ac.) 612 | | 194 | 194 | 291 | 485 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 2 |
| Tree/Shrub Site Preparation (ac.) 490 | | 194 | 194 | 291 | 485 | -1 | -2 | 0 | -1 |



Lower Gasconade River - 10290203

8 – Digit Hydrologic Unit Profile and Resource Assessment Matrix



| WATERSHED NAME & CODE | | LOWER GASCONADE - 10290203 | | | | LANDUSE ACRES | | 19,393 | |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| LANDUSE TYPE | | CROPLAND | | | | TYPICAL UNIT SIZE ACRES | | 20 | |
| CONSERVATION INVESTMENT INFORMATION | | | | | | ESTIMATED PARTICIPATION | | 40% | |
| CONSERVATION SYSTEMS BY TREATMENT LEVELS | FUTURE | USDA INVESTMENT | | | | PRIVATE INVESTMENT | | | |
| | New Treatment Units | Installation Cost | Management Cost - 3 yrs | Technical Assistance | Total Present Value Cost | Installation Cost | Annual O & M + Mgt Costs | Total Present Value Cost | |
| | | 50% | 100% | 20% | | 50% | 100% | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Progressive System Acres Treated | | 4072.53 | | | | | | | |
| Conservation Cover (ac.) 327 | | 407 | \$25,769 | \$0 | \$5,154 | \$30,923 | \$25,769 | \$515 | \$27,940 |
| Conservation Crop Rotation (ac.) 328 | | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| | | Subtotal | \$25,769 | \$0 | \$5,154 | \$30,923 | \$25,769 | \$515 | \$27,940 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Resource Management System (RMS) Acres Treated | | 2908.95 | | | | | | | |
| Conservation Cover (ac.) 327 | | 175 | \$11,044 | \$0 | \$2,209 | \$13,253 | \$11,044 | \$221 | \$11,974 |
| Conservation Crop Rotation (ac.) 328 | | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Nutrient Management (ac.) 590 | | 2,618 | \$0 | \$99,512 | \$19,902 | \$108,568 | \$0 | \$33,171 | \$51,061 |
| Pest Management (ac.) 595 | | 2,909 | \$0 | \$186,231 | \$37,246 | \$203,179 | \$0 | \$62,077 | \$95,558 |
| Residue and Tillage Management, No-Till/Strip Till/Direct Seed (ac.) 329 | | 2,618 | \$0 | \$142,396 | \$28,479 | \$155,355 | \$0 | \$47,465 | \$73,066 |
| Riparian Forest Buffer (ac.) 391 | | 291 | \$47,416 | \$0 | \$9,483 | \$56,899 | \$47,416 | \$948 | \$51,411 |
| Tree/Shrub Establishment (ac.) 612 | | 291 | \$47,416 | \$0 | \$9,483 | \$56,899 | \$47,416 | \$0 | \$47,416 |
| Tree/Shrub Site Preparation (ac.) 490 | | 291 | \$0 | \$39,088 | \$7,818 | \$42,645 | \$0 | \$13,029 | \$20,057 |
| | | Subtotal | \$105,876 | \$467,227 | \$114,620 | \$636,797 | \$105,876 | \$156,911 | \$350,543 |
| TOTAL ACRES TREATED / ESTIMATED TREATMENT COSTS | | 6981.48 | \$131,645 | \$467,227 | \$119,774 | \$667,720 | \$131,645 | \$157,427 | \$378,483 |



Lower Gasconade River - 10290203

8 – Digit Hydrologic Unit Profile and Resource Assessment Matrix



| WATERSHED NAME & CODE | | LOWER GASCONADE - 10290203 | | | LANDUSE ACRES | | 410,382 | |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|
| LANDUSE TYPE | | FORESTLAND | | | TYPICAL UNIT SIZE ACRES | | 80 | |
| ASSESSMENT INFORMATION | | | | | ESTIMATED PARTICIPATION | | 39% | |
| CONSERVATION SYSTEMS BY TREATMENT LEVELS | CURRENT CONDITIONS | FUTURE CONDITIONS | | | RESOURCE CONCERNS | | | |
| | Total Units | Existing Unchanged Units | New Treatment Units | Total Units | Soil Erosion – Sheet and Rill | Soil Condition – Compaction | Plant Condition – T & E Plant Species: Declining Species, Species of Concern | Fish and Wildlife – Inadequate Food |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline System | System Rating -> | | | | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Total Acreage at Baseline Level | 328,306 | 196,983 | 0 | 196,983 | | | | |
| Critical Area Planting (ac.) 342 | 16,415 | 9,849 | 0 | 9,849 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| Tree/Shrub Establishment (ac.) 612 | 16,415 | 9,849 | 0 | 9,849 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Progressive System | System Rating -> | | | | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Total Acreage at Progressive Level | 41,038 | 28,727 | 98,492 | 127,218 | | | | |
| Brush Management (ac.) 314 | 2,052 | 1,436 | 4,925 | 6,361 | 3 | -1 | 0 | 3 |
| Critical Area Planting (ac.) 342 | 2,052 | 6,361 | 0 | 6,361 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| Forest Stand Improvement (ac.) 666 | 38,986 | 27,290 | 93,567 | 120,857 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Prescribed Forestry (ac.) 409 | 41,038 | 28,727 | 98,492 | 127,218 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 3 |
| Tree/Shrub Pruning (ac.) 660 | 2,052 | 1,436 | 4,925 | 6,361 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Resource Management System (RMS) | System Rating -> | | | | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Total Acreage at RMS Level | 41,038 | 41,038 | 45,142 | 86,180 | | | | |
| Access Road (ft.) 560 | 1,015,695 | 1,015,695 | 1,117,265 | 2,132,960 | 0 | 2 | -1 | 0 |
| Brush Management (ac.) 314 | 2,052 | 2,667 | 1,642 | 4,309 | 3 | -1 | 0 | 3 |
| Critical Area Planting (ac.) 342 | 2,052 | 4,309 | 0 | 4,309 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| Forest Stand Improvement (ac.) 666 | 38,986 | 50,682 | 31,189 | 81,871 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Forest Trails and Landings (ac.) 655 | 4,104 | 4,104 | 4,514 | 8,618 | -1 | -4 | 0 | 1 |
| Pest Management (ac.) 595 | 41,038 | 41,038 | 45,142 | 86,180 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Prescribed Burning (ac.) 338 | 12,311 | 12,311 | 13,543 | 25,854 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Prescribed Forestry (ac.) 409 | 41,038 | 53,350 | 32,831 | 86,180 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 3 |
| Tree/Shrub Pruning (ac.) 660 | 2,052 | 2,667 | 1,642 | 4,309 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Tree/Shrub Site Preparation (ac.) 490 | 4,104 | 4,104 | 4,514 | 8,618 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 |
| Wildlife Watering Facility (no.) 648 | 513 | 513 | 564 | 1,077 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |



Lower Gasconade River - 10290203

8 – Digit Hydrologic Unit Profile and Resource Assessment Matrix



| WATERSHED NAME & CODE | | LOWER GASCONADE - 10290203 | | | | LANDUSE ACRES | | 410,382 | |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| LANDUSE TYPE | | FORESTLAND | | | | TYPICAL UNIT SIZE ACRES | | 80 | |
| CONSERVATION INVESTMENT INFORMATION | | | | | | ESTIMATED PARTICIPATION | | 39% | |
| CONSERVATION SYSTEMS BY TREATMENT LEVELS | FUTURE | USDA INVESTMENT | | | | PRIVATE INVESTMENT | | | |
| | New Treatment Units | Installation Cost | Management Cost - 3 yrs | Technical Assistance | Total Present Value Cost | Installation Cost | Annual O & M + Mgt Costs | Total Present Value Cost | |
| | | 50% | 100% | 20% | | 50% | 100% | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Progressive System Acres Treated | 98491.68 | | | | | | | | |
| Brush Management (ac.) 314 | 4,925 | \$218,381 | \$0 | \$43,676 | \$262,057 | \$218,381 | \$4,368 | \$236,779 | |
| Critical Area Planting (ac.) 342 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Forest Stand Improvement (ac.) 666 | 93,567 | \$4,188,531 | \$0 | \$837,706 | \$5,026,237 | \$4,188,531 | \$83,771 | \$4,541,403 | |
| Prescribed Forestry (ac.) 409 | 98,492 | \$2,462,292 | \$0 | \$492,458 | \$2,954,750 | \$2,462,292 | \$0 | \$2,462,292 | |
| Tree/Shrub Pruning (ac.) 660 | 4,925 | \$554,016 | \$0 | \$110,803 | \$664,819 | \$554,016 | \$22,161 | \$647,364 | |
| | Subtotal | \$7,423,219 | \$0 | \$1,484,644 | \$8,907,863 | \$7,423,219 | \$110,299 | \$7,887,838 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Resource Management System (RMS) Acres Treated | 45142.02 | | | | | | | | |
| Access Road (ft.) 560 | 1,117,265 | \$2,793,162 | \$0 | \$558,632 | \$3,351,795 | \$2,793,162 | \$167,590 | \$3,499,111 | |
| Brush Management (ac.) 314 | 1,642 | \$72,794 | \$0 | \$14,559 | \$87,352 | \$72,794 | \$1,456 | \$78,926 | |
| Critical Area Planting (ac.) 342 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Forest Stand Improvement (ac.) 666 | 31,189 | \$1,396,177 | \$0 | \$279,235 | \$1,675,412 | \$1,396,177 | \$27,924 | \$1,513,801 | |
| Forest Trails and Landings (ac.) 655 | 4,514 | \$2,689,404 | \$0 | \$537,881 | \$3,227,284 | \$2,689,404 | \$107,576 | \$3,142,553 | |
| Pest Management (ac.) 595 | 45,142 | \$0 | \$2,889,992 | \$577,998 | \$3,152,993 | \$0 | \$963,331 | \$1,482,905 | |
| Prescribed Burning (ac.) 338 | 13,543 | \$119,446 | \$0 | \$23,889 | \$143,335 | \$119,446 | \$0 | \$119,446 | |
| Prescribed Forestry (ac.) 409 | 32,831 | \$820,764 | \$0 | \$164,153 | \$984,917 | \$820,764 | \$0 | \$820,764 | |
| Tree/Shrub Pruning (ac.) 660 | 1,642 | \$184,672 | \$0 | \$36,934 | \$221,606 | \$184,672 | \$7,387 | \$215,788 | |
| Tree/Shrub Site Preparation (ac.) 490 | 4,514 | \$0 | \$606,573 | \$121,315 | \$661,774 | \$0 | \$202,191 | \$311,243 | |
| Wildlife Watering Facility (no.) 648 | 564 | \$207,148 | \$0 | \$41,430 | \$248,578 | \$207,148 | \$0 | \$207,148 | |
| | Subtotal | \$8,283,567 | \$3,496,565 | \$2,356,026 | \$13,755,047 | \$8,283,567 | \$1,477,454 | \$11,391,687 | |
| TOTAL ACRES TREATED / ESTIMATED TREATMENT COSTS | 143633.7 | \$15,706,786 | \$3,496,565 | \$3,840,670 | \$22,662,910 | \$15,706,786 | \$1,587,753 | \$19,279,525 | |

| WATERSHED NAME & CODE | | LOWER GASCONADE - 10290203 | | | | LANDUSE ACRES | | 206,579 | |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| LANDUSE TYPE | | GRASSLAND | | | | TYPICAL UNIT SIZE ACRES | | 60 | |
| ASSESSMENT INFORMATION | | | | | | ESTIMATED PARTICIPATION | | 34% | |
| CONSERVATION SYSTEMS BY TREATMENT LEVELS | CURRENT CONDITIONS | FUTURE CONDITIONS | | | RESOURCE CONCERNS | | | | |
| | Total Units | Existing Unchanged Units | New Treatment Units | Total Units | Soil Erosion – Ephemeral Gully | Water Quantity – Insufficient Flows in Watercourses | Water Quality – Excessive Nutrients and Organics in Groundwater | Plant Condition – Forage Quality and Palatability | |
| | Baseline System | | | | | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| | Total Acreage at Baseline Level | | | | | | | | |
| | Critical Area Planting (ac.) 342 | 6,714 | 4,028 | 0 | 4,028 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Pasture and Hay Planting (ac.) 512 | 134,276 | 80,566 | 0 | 80,566 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| | Progressive System | | | | | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| | Total Acreage at Progressive Level | | | | | | | | |
| | Critical Area Planting (ac.) 342 | 2,582 | 3,744 | 0 | 3,744 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Fence (ft.) 382 | 3,442,983 | 2,754,387 | 2,237,939 | 4,992,326 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pasture and Hay Planting (ac.) 512 | 45,447 | 65,899 | 0 | 65,899 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 5 | |
| Pond (no.) 378 | 861 | 689 | 559 | 1,248 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 0 | |
| Use Exclusion (ac.) 472 | 6,197 | 4,958 | 4,028 | 8,986 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | |
| Resource Management System (RMS) | | | | | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | |
| Total Acreage at RMS Level | | | | | | | | | |
| Critical Area Planting (ac.) 342 | 1,033 | 2,556 | 0 | 2,556 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| Fence (ft.) 382 | 2,754,387 | 3,442,983 | 3,374,124 | 6,817,107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Forage Harvest Management (ac.) 511 | 18,179 | 18,179 | 26,814 | 44,993 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | |
| Heavy Use Area Protection (ac.) 561 | 1,033 | 1,033 | 1,524 | 2,556 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Manure Transfer (no.) 634 | 344 | 344 | 508 | 852 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | |
| Pasture and Hay Planting (ac.) 512 | 18,179 | 44,993 | 0 | 44,993 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 5 | |
| Pipeline (ft.) 516 | 688,597 | 688,597 | 1,015,680 | 1,704,277 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Pond (no.) 378 | 344 | 516 | 336 | 852 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 0 | |
| Prescribed Grazing (ac.) 528 | 18,179 | 18,179 | 26,814 | 44,993 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 4 | |
| Riparian Forest Buffer (ac.) 391 | 2,066 | 2,066 | 3,047 | 5,113 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 4 | |
| Spring Development (no.) 574 | 344 | 344 | 508 | 852 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | |
| Tree/Shrub Establishment (ac.) 612 | 2,066 | 2,066 | 3,047 | 5,113 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 | |
| Tree/Shrub Site Preparation (ac.) 490 | 2,066 | 2,066 | 3,047 | 5,113 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Use Exclusion (ac.) 472 | 2,479 | 3,718 | 2,417 | 6,135 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | |
| Water Well (no.) 642 | 344 | 344 | 508 | 852 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Watering Facility (no.) 614 | 344 | 344 | 508 | 852 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |



Lower Gasconade River - 10290203

8 – Digit Hydrologic Unit Profile and Resource Assessment Matrix



| WATERSHED NAME & CODE | | LOWER GASCONADE - 10290203 | | | | LANDUSE ACRES | | 206,579 | |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| LANDUSE TYPE | | GRASSLAND | | | | TYPICAL UNIT SIZE ACRES | | 60 | |
| CONSERVATION INVESTMENT INFORMATION | | | | | | ESTIMATED PARTICIPATION | | 34% | |
| CONSERVATION SYSTEMS BY TREATMENT LEVELS | FUTURE | USDA INVESTMENT | | | | PRIVATE INVESTMENT | | | |
| | New Treatment Units | Installation Cost | Management Cost - 3 yrs | Technical Assistance | Total Present Value Cost | Installation Cost | Annual O & M + Mgt Costs | Total Present Value Cost | |
| | | 50% | 100% | 20% | | 50% | 100% | | |
| Progressive System Acres Treated | | 33569.0875 | | | | | | | |
| Critical Area Planting (ac.) 342 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Fence (ft.) 382 | 2,237,939 | \$1,633,696 | \$0 | \$326,739 | \$1,960,435 | \$1,633,696 | \$163,370 | \$2,321,868 | |
| Pasture and Hay Planting (ac.) 512 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Pond (no.) 378 | 559 | \$1,678,454 | \$0 | \$335,691 | \$2,014,145 | \$1,678,454 | \$67,138 | \$1,961,265 | |
| Use Exclusion (ac.) 472 | 4,028 | \$10,071 | \$0 | \$2,014 | \$12,085 | \$10,071 | \$604 | \$12,616 | |
| | Subtotal | \$3,322,221 | \$0 | \$664,444 | \$3,986,665 | \$3,322,221 | \$231,112 | \$4,295,748 | |
| Resource Management System (RMS) Acres Treated | | 30470.4025 | | | | | | | |
| Critical Area Planting (ac.) 342 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Fence (ft.) 382 | 3,374,124 | \$2,463,110 | \$0 | \$492,622 | \$2,955,732 | \$2,463,110 | \$246,311 | \$3,500,662 | |
| Forage Harvest Management (ac.) 511 | 26,814 | \$107,256 | \$0 | \$21,451 | \$128,707 | \$107,256 | \$32,177 | \$242,796 | |
| Heavy Use Area Protection (ac.) 561 | 1,524 | \$39,781,853 | \$0 | \$7,956,371 | \$47,738,223 | \$39,781,853 | \$3,978,185 | \$56,539,416 | |
| Manure Transfer (no.) 634 | 508 | \$0 | \$33,792,895 | \$6,758,579 | \$36,868,183 | \$0 | \$11,264,298 | \$17,339,718 | |
| Pasture and Hay Planting (ac.) 512 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Pipeline (ft.) 516 | 1,015,680 | \$1,091,856 | \$0 | \$218,371 | \$1,310,227 | \$1,091,856 | \$0 | \$1,091,856 | |
| Pond (no.) 378 | 336 | \$1,007,073 | \$0 | \$201,415 | \$1,208,487 | \$1,007,073 | \$40,283 | \$1,176,759 | |
| Prescribed Grazing (ac.) 528 | 26,814 | \$117,981 | \$0 | \$23,596 | \$141,578 | \$117,981 | \$0 | \$117,981 | |
| Riparian Forest Buffer (ac.) 391 | 3,047 | \$496,668 | \$0 | \$99,334 | \$596,001 | \$496,668 | \$9,933 | \$538,510 | |
| Spring Development (no.) 574 | 508 | \$65,529 | \$0 | \$13,106 | \$78,635 | \$65,529 | \$3,932 | \$82,091 | |
| Tree/Shrub Establishment (ac.) 612 | 3,047 | \$496,668 | \$0 | \$99,334 | \$596,001 | \$496,668 | \$0 | \$496,668 | |
| Tree/Shrub Site Preparation (ac.) 490 | 3,047 | \$0 | \$409,431 | \$81,886 | \$446,691 | \$0 | \$136,477 | \$210,086 | |
| Use Exclusion (ac.) 472 | 2,417 | \$6,042 | \$0 | \$1,208 | \$7,251 | \$6,042 | \$363 | \$7,570 | |
| Water Well (no.) 642 | 508 | \$759,637 | \$0 | \$151,927 | \$911,565 | \$759,637 | \$30,385 | \$887,632 | |
| Watering Facility (no.) 614 | 508 | \$230,653 | \$0 | \$46,131 | \$276,784 | \$230,653 | \$9,226 | \$269,517 | |
| | Subtotal | \$46,624,326 | \$34,202,326 | \$16,165,330 | \$93,264,065 | \$46,624,326 | \$15,751,571 | \$82,501,263 | |
| TOTAL ACRES TREATED / ESTIMATED TREATMENT COSTS | 64039.49 | \$49,946,547 | \$34,202,326 | \$16,829,775 | \$97,250,730 | \$49,946,547 | \$15,982,683 | \$86,797,011 | |



Lower Gasconade River - 10290203

8 – Digit Hydrologic Unit Profile and Resource Assessment Matrix



| WATERSHED NAME & CODE | | LOWER GASCONADE - 10290203 | | | | LANDUSE ACRES | | 3,262 | | |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|----|---|
| LANDUSE TYPE | | HIGH AND LOW INTENSITY URBAN | | | | TYPICAL UNIT SIZE ACRES | | 5 | | |
| ASSESSMENT INFORMATION | | | | | | ESTIMATED PARTICIPATION | | 13% | | |
| CONSERVATION SYSTEMS BY TREATMENT LEVELS | CURRENT CONDITIONS | FUTURE CONDITIONS | | | RESOURCE CONCERNS | | | | | |
| | Total Units | Existing Unchanged Units | New Treatment Units | Total Units | Soil Erosion – Sheet and Rill | Soil Erosion – Ephemeral Gully | Soil Erosion – Classic Gully | Water Quantity – Excessive Runoff, Flooding, or Ponding | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Baseline System | | | | | System Rating -> | | | | |
| | Total Acreage at Baseline Level | | 2,936 | 2,554 | 0 | 2,554 | | | | |
| | Critical Area Planting (ac.) 342 | | 147 | 128 | 0 | 128 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 0 |
| | Progressive System | | | | | System Rating -> | | | | |
| | Total Acreage at Progressive Level | | 163 | 147 | 235 | 382 | | | | |
| | Critical Area Planting (ac.) 342 | | 8 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 0 |
| | Mulching (ac.) 484 | | 16 | 15 | 23 | 38 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Tree/Shrub Establishment (ac.) 612 | | 12 | 11 | 18 | 29 | 5 | 4 | 2 | -1 | |
| Resource Management System (RMS) | | | | | System Rating -> | | | | | |
| Total Acreage at RMS Level | | 163 | 163 | 163 | 326 | | | | | |
| Critical Area Planting (ac.) 342 | | 8 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 0 | |
| Mulching (ac.) 484 | | 24 | 26 | 23 | 49 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | |
| Pest Management (ac.) 595 | | 108 | 108 | 108 | 215 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Prescribed Forestry (ac.) 409 | | 12 | 12 | 12 | 24 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 2 | |
| Recreation Area Improvement (ac.) 562 | | 122 | 122 | 122 | 245 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | |
| Tree/Shrub Establishment (ac.) 612 | | 12 | 13 | 11 | 24 | 5 | 4 | 2 | -1 | |
| Tree/Shrub Site Preparation (ac.) 490 | | 12 | 12 | 12 | 24 | -1 | -2 | -2 | 0 | |



Lower Gasconade River - 10290203

8 – Digit Hydrologic Unit Profile and Resource Assessment Matrix



| WATERSHED NAME & CODE | | LOWER GASCONADE - 10290203 | | | | LANDUSE ACRES | | 3,262 | |
|---|---------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|
| LANDUSE TYPE | | HIGH AND LOW INTENSITY URBAN | | | | TYPICAL UNIT SIZE ACRES | | 5 | |
| CONSERVATION INVESTMENT INFORMATION | | | | | | ESTIMATED PARTICIPATION | | 13% | |
| CONSERVATION SYSTEMS BY TREATMENT LEVELS | FUTURE | USDA INVESTMENT | | | | PRIVATE INVESTMENT | | | |
| | New Treatment Units | Installation Cost | Management Cost - 3 yrs | Technical Assistance | Total Present Value Cost | Installation Cost | Annual O & M + Mgt Costs | Total Present Value Cost | |
| | | 50% | 100% | 20% | | 50% | 100% | | |
| Progressive System Acres Treated | | 234.864 | | | | | | | |
| Critical Area Planting (ac.) 342 | | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Mulching (ac.) 484 | | 23 | \$0 | \$7,046 | \$1,409 | \$7,687 | \$0 | \$2,349 | \$3,615 |
| Tree/Shrub Establishment (ac.) 612 | | 18 | \$2,871 | \$0 | \$574 | \$3,445 | \$2,871 | \$0 | \$2,871 |
| Subtotal | | | \$2,871 | \$7,046 | \$1,983 | \$11,133 | \$2,871 | \$2,349 | \$6,487 |
| Resource Management System (RMS) Acres Treated | | 163.1 | | | | | | | |
| Critical Area Planting (ac.) 342 | | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Mulching (ac.) 484 | | 23 | \$0 | \$6,850 | \$1,370 | \$7,474 | \$0 | \$2,283 | \$3,515 |
| Pest Management (ac.) 595 | | 108 | \$0 | \$6,891 | \$1,378 | \$7,519 | \$0 | \$2,297 | \$3,536 |
| Prescribed Forestry (ac.) 409 | | 12 | \$306 | \$0 | \$61 | \$367 | \$306 | \$0 | \$306 |
| Recreation Area Improvement (ac.) 562 | | 122 | \$30,581 | \$0 | \$6,116 | \$36,698 | \$30,581 | \$3,058 | \$43,463 |
| Tree/Shrub Establishment (ac.) 612 | | 11 | \$1,795 | \$0 | \$359 | \$2,153 | \$1,795 | \$0 | \$1,795 |
| Tree/Shrub Site Preparation (ac.) 490 | | 12 | \$0 | \$1,644 | \$329 | \$1,793 | \$0 | \$548 | \$843 |
| Subtotal | | | \$32,682 | \$15,385 | \$9,613 | \$56,003 | \$32,682 | \$8,187 | \$53,458 |
| TOTAL ACRES TREATED / ESTIMATED TREATMENT COSTS | | 397.964 | \$35,553 | \$22,431 | \$11,597 | \$67,136 | \$35,553 | \$10,535 | \$59,945 |

Footnotes / Bibliography

All data is provided “as is”. There are no warranties, expressed or implied, including the warranty of fitness for a particular purpose, accompanying this document. Use for general planning purposes only.

Some data that was provided was given for areas that do not match up perfectly with the watershed. For these areas, such as county wide and census data, figures were adjusted by percent of the HUC in the area.

Page 1

Base Layer Map

Digital Elevation Model of Missouri. Map Layer. Center for Applied Research and Environmental Systems (CARES), 2005.

Public Land Survey. Map Layer. CARES, 2005.

Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC). Map Layer. Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), 2006.

National Hydrology Dataset (NHD). Map Layer. U.S. Geologic Survey (USGS), 2005.

Roads and Highways. Map Layer. Missouri Department of Transportation (MoDOT), 2005.

Railroads. Map Layer. Federal Railroad Administration, 2003.

Political Boundaries. Map Layer. U.S. Census Bureau, 2001.

Public Lands. Map Layer. Missouri Resource Assessment Partnership (MoRAP), 2003.

Page 5

Relief Map:

Digital Elevation Model of Missouri. Map Layer. CARES, 2005.

Hillshade Relief Map of Missouri. Map Layer. CARES, 2005.

Page 6

Karst Features Map:

Springs, Sink Areas, and Losing/Gaining Streams. Map Layer. Missouri Department of Natural Resources (MoDNR), 2006.

Data Downloaded from: <http://www.msdis.missouri.edu/datasearch/ThemeList.jsp>

Sinkholes. Map Layer. CARES from various sources, 2006.

Page 7

Geologic Features Map:

Missouri Bedrock Geology. Map Layer. MoDNR, division of Geology and Land Survey-Geological Survey Program, 2006.

Missouri Fault Geology. Map Layer. MoDNR, division of Geology and Land Survey-Geological Survey Program, 2006.

Pages 9-10

Common Resource Area Map / Descriptions:

Common Resource Areas. Map Layer. NRCS, 2006.

Common Resource Areas. Descriptions. NRCS, 2006.

Descriptions downloaded from the NRCS online Electronic Field Guide (eFOTG) at:

http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/references/public/MO/CRA_descriptions.pdf

Pages 11-13

Major Land Resource Area Map / Descriptions:

Major Land Resource Areas. Map Layer. NRCS, 2006.

Major Land Resource Areas. Descriptions. NRCS, 1981.

Descriptions downloaded from: <http://www.soilsurvey.org/maps/mlra.asp>

Footnotes / Bibliography – Continued**Page 14**

Annual Precipitation Map:

Annual Precipitation. Map Layer. PRISM Group at Oregon State University, 2006.**Page 15**

Land Ownership Map:

Public Lands. Map Layer. MoRAP, 2003.**Page 16**

Land Slope Map:

Land Slope. Map layer. CARES, 2005.

Created from the CARES 10 Meter DEM.

Page 17

Land Use / Land Cover Map:

2005 Land Use Land Cover. Map Layer. MoRAP, 2005.**Page 18**

Land Use / Land Cover Pie Chart:

2005 Land Use Land Cover. Database. MoRAP, 2005.

Land Use / Land Cover Graph:

2005 Land Use Land Cover. Database. MoRAP, 2005.

Data was collected by using Public Land (MoRAP, 2003) to clip Land Use / Land Cover. This gave both public and private land areas that could be queried by type.

Page 19

Land Capability Class Graph:

Soils GIS Data. Database. NRCS.Served by Missouri Cooperative Soil Survey at: <http://www.soilsurvey.org>Missouri Land Capability Classes. Descriptions. NRCS.Descriptions downloaded from <http://soils.usda.gov/technical/handbook/contents/part622.html>**Page 20**

Riparian Corridor Map:

Riparian Corridor. Map Layer. NRCS.**Page 22**

Highly Erodible Lands Map:

Soils GIS Data. Map Layer. NRCS.

Data queried from NRCS Soil data being served by the Missouri Cooperative Soil Survey at

<http://www.soilsurvey.org>**Page 24**

Prime Farmlands Map:

Soils GIS Data. Map Layer. NRCS.

Data queried from NRCS Soil data being served by the Missouri Cooperative Soil Survey at:

<http://www.soilsurvey.org>

Footnotes / Bibliography – Continued**Page 26**

Census Data by Block Map:

2000 U.S. Census Tiger Lines. Map Layer. U.S. Census Bureau, 2001.

2000 U.S. Census Data. Database. U.S. Census Bureau, 2001.

Data queried from SF1-A databases.

Page 27

Census Data by Block Maps:

2000 U.S. Census Tiger Lines. Map Layer. U.S. Census Bureau, 2001.

2000 U.S. Census Data. Database. U.S. Census Bureau, 2001.

Data queried from SF1-A databases

Page 28

Age Demographics Pie Chart:

2000 U.S. Census Data. Database. U.S. Census Bureau, 2001.

Data queried from SF1-B databases.

Income Sources Graph:

2000 U.S. Census Data. Database. U.S. Census Bureau, 2001.

Data queried from SF3-O databases.

Page 29

Employment Figures Map:

2000 U.S. Census Data. Map Layer and Database. U.S. Census Bureau, 2001.

Data queried from SF3-M databases.

Page 30

Confined Animal Feeding Operation Map:

Confined Animal Feeding Operations. Map Layer and Database. NRCS, 2006.

Page 31

Animal Units Definitions:

NPDES Permitting of CAFOs in Missouri. Definitions. MoDNR, 2004.

Definitions found at: http://www.dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/cafo/npdes_permitting_cafos.pdf

Confined Animal Feeding Operations Graph:

Confined Animal Feeding Operations. Database. NRCS, 2006.

Facility Setback Graph:

Required Setbacks for Missouri. Database. MoDNR.

Ordinances are based on guidelines produced by the Water Protection Program, and can be found at: <http://agebb.missouri.edu/commag/permit/setbacks.asp>

Facility Additional Setback Information:

Local Restrictions. Database. MoDNR.

Ordinances produced by individual counties, and go beyond what MoDNR requires. Information can be found at: <http://agebb.missouri.edu/commag/permit/restrictions.asp>

Footnotes / Bibliography – Continued**Page 32**

Solid Waste and Wastewater Facilities Map:

Landfills. Map Layer. MoDNR, 2004.

Hazardous Waste Program-Permits. Map Layer. MoDNR, 2004.

Wastewater Facilities. Map Layer. MoDNR, 2006.

Solid Waste Transfer Stations. Map Layer. MoDNR, 2004.

Hazardous Waste Generators. Map Layer. MoDNR, 2007.

All layers downloaded from: <http://www.msdis.missouri.edu/datasearch/ThemeList.jsp>

Page 34

Ground Water Graph:

Ground Water for 8 Digit HUC (GWHU8). Database. Census of Missouri Public Water Systems, 2007.

Surface Water Graph:

Surface Water for 8 Digit HUC (SWHU8). Database. Census of Missouri Public Water Systems, 2007.

Page 35

Endangered and Threatened Species Graph:

Species and Natural Communities of Conservation Concern. Database. Missouri National Heritage Program, 2007.

Online linkage can be found here: <http://mdc.mo.gov/nathis/heritage>

Stream Flow Data Graph:

USGS Surface-Water Data for Missouri. Database. USGS, 2007.

Gage station information can be found here: <http://waterdata.usgs.gov/mo/nwis/sw>

Page 36

303(d) Listed Streams and Water Map:

Missouri 2002 303(d) Listed Waters. Map Layer. MoDNR, 2002.

Data downloaded from <http://www.msdis.missouri.edu/datasearch/ThemeList.jsp>

Pages 48-55

Rapid Watershed Assessment Matrix Data Tables:

Database. NRCS, 2008.